

HOUSTON, TX 77056

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE AVISTA/209-1016 2163 Jeffrey H. Sherman 01/02/2001 09/753,496 **EXAMINER** 02/25/2004 7590 NGUYEN, TAM M THOMASON, MOSER & PATTERSON N. ALEXANDER NOLTE PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 3040 POST OAK BLVD 1764 **SUITE 1500**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/753,496	SHERMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tam M. Nguyen	1764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		correspondence address	
Period for Reply		·	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 September 2003</u> .			
	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,6-9,11-13,15,16,18-28,30,31,33-38,40,41,43,45-51 and 53-56</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>50,51 and 53</u> is/are allowed.			
Claim(s) 4,6-9,11-13,15,16,18-20,22-28,30,31,33-35,37,38,40,41,43,45-47, 49 and 54-56 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>21,36,40 and 48</u> is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>21,36,40 and 48</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 3 <u>7 C</u> FR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	CE ACTION OF TORM PTO-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		ved in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea		ved	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	tor the certified copies not recor	vod.	
	ı	,	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/22/03</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date Il Patent Application (PTO-152)	
, apor 110(0)////aii bato <u>0/22/2-</u> .			

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed on August 25, 2003 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection under 35 USC 103(a) has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the WO 97/00928 reference. A discussion of the rejection follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4, 6, 11, 12, 18, 23, 24, 30, 38, 40, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 97/00928.

The WO 97/00928 reference discloses a process for refining used oil by contacting the oil with an alkaline base (e.g., sodium hydroxide) in the presence of ethylene glycol. The used oil mixture is then washed with water (solvent). Next, the used oil is separated from the water/contaminants to produce clean used oil which is further purified in a distillation zone. (See abstract; since the WO 97/00928 is equivalent to US Patent 6,072,065; see col. 3, lines 7-60; col. 4, lines 11-59; col. 5, lines 1-15)

Art Unit: 1764

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 7-9, 13, 15, 16, 19-20, 22-28, 31, 33-35, 37, 43, 45-47, 49, and 54-56, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 97/00928

The WO 97/00928 reference discloses a process for refining used oil by contacting the oil with an alkaline base (e.g., sodium hydroxide) in the presence of ethylene glycol. The used oil

Art Unit: 1764

mixture is then washed with water (solvent). Next, the used oil is separated from the water/contaminants to produce a clean used oil which is further purified in a distillation zone. (See abstract; since the WO 97/00928 is equivalent to US Patent 6,072,065, see col. 3, lines 7-60; col. 4, lines 11-59; col. 5, lines 1-15 of the US Patent)

The reference does not disclose the distillation column conditions. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of WO 97/00928 by using the claimed conditions because the reference discloses that the conditions are selected to obtain desired fractions. Therefore, one of skill in the art would select any conditions including the claimed conditions which would produce desired product.

The reference does not discloses the amount of base or glycol. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of WO 97/00928 by using any amounts of base and glycol including the claimed amounts because WO 97/00928 does not limit the amount. Therefore, one of skill in the art would employ any amount of base and glycol that is effective to remove contaminants from the oil and it would be expected that the results would be similar when using the claimed amounts in the process of WO 97/00928.

Art Unit: 1764

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 50, 51, and 53 are allowed.

Claims 21, 36, 40, and 48 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The primary reason for allowance is that no prior art of record discloses or suggests a method for removing contaminants from used oil wherein the oil is contacted with a glycol in the presence of an inorganic base compound and the oil mixture is then mixed with N, N-dimethylformamide to dissolve contaminants from the used oil.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tam M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tam M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 1764 Page 6

TN

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner